

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,390	11/19/2003	Shigeo Tanaka	032116	5463
38834 7	590 06/28/2006		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			BERHANE, ADOLF D	
1250 CONNEC SUITE 700	CTICUT AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		2838	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\. \
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/715,390	TANAKA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adolf Berhane	2838	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ul>		in the final rejection, wh	nichever is later l
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>The new limitation in claims 14 and 15</u> . (See 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.)	ee 37 CFR 1,116 and 41.33(a)). 21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant / mondmont	(1.02.02.).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>5-11</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 12-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	nils to provide a (1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but			
<ul><li>See Continuation Sheet.</li><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s).	
13. Other:	(	97 1	0

Adolf Berhane Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2838 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the elements and the teaching are both shown in the prior art and the arguments are not persuasive..